arXiv:1612.08774v1 [math.AP] 28 Dec 2016

LOCAL NULL CONTROLLABILITY FOR DEGENERATE PARABOLIC EQUATIONS WITH NONLOCAL TERM

R. DEMARQUE*, J. LÍMACO, AND L. VIANA

Abstract. We establish a local null controllability result for following the nonlinear parabolic equation:

$$u_t - \left(b\left(x, \int_0^1 u\right) u_x\right)_x + f(t,x,u) = h\chi_\omega, \ \ (t,x) \in (0,T)\times(0,1)$$

where $b(x,r) = \ell(r)a(x)$ is a function with separated variables that defines an operator which degenerates at $x = 0$ and has a nonlocal term. Our approach relies on an application of Liusternik's inverse mapping theorem that demands the proof of a suitable Carleman estimate.

1. Introduction

In this paper we study the null controllability for the degenerate parabolic problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \left(b\left(x, \int_0^1 u\right) u_x\right)_x + f(t,x,u) = h\chi_\omega, \\ u(t,1) = u(t,0) = 0, \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $T > 0$ is given, $(t,x) \in (0,T)\times(0,1)$, $u_0 \in L^2(0,1)$ and $h \in L^2((0,T)\times(0,1))$ is a control that acts on the system through $\omega = (\alpha,\beta) \subset\subset (0,1)$. We also specify some properties of b and f:

A.1. *Let $\ell : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a C^1 function with bounded derivative and suppose that $\ell(0) = 1$. We also consider $a \in C([0,1]) \cap C^1((0,1])$ satisfying $a(0) = 0$, $a > 0$ on $(0,1]$, $a' \geq 0$ and*

$$xa'(x) \leq Ka(x), \quad \forall x \in [0,1] \text{ and some } K \in [0,1). \tag{1.2}$$

The function $b : [0,1]\times\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$b(x,r) = \ell(r)a(x).$$

Remark 1.1. Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$, then a typical example of function satisfying (A.1) is $a(x) = x^\alpha$. If we define $\beta = \arctan(\alpha)$, then an other example is $a(x) = x^\alpha\cos(\beta x)$.

A.2. *Let $f : [0,T]\times[0,1]\times\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a C^1 function with bounded derivatives such that $f(t,x,0) = 0$. We suppose that*

$$c = c(t,x) := D_3 f(t,x,0) \in L^\infty((0,T)\times(0,1))$$

The main goal of this work is to prove that there exists $h \in L^2((0,T)\times(0,1))$ such that the associated state $u = u(t,x)$ of (1.1) satisfies

$$u(T,x) \equiv 0 \text{ for any } x \in [0,1],$$

at least if $\|u_0\|_{H_a^1}$ is sufficiently small, where H_a^1 is a suitable weighted Hilbert space which will be defined later.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35K65, 93B05; Secondary 35K55.

Key words and phrases. Degenerate parabolic equations, controllability, nonlinear parabolic equations, nonlocal term.

* Partially supported by FAPERJ E-26/111.039/2013 and Proppi/PDI/UFF.

The system considered here yields the operator

$$\left(b\left(\cdot,\int_0^1 u\right)u_x\right)_x,$$

which degenerates at $x=0$ and also have a nonlocal term. Semilinear nondegenerate equations have been studied extensively in the last forty years, see [7, 10, 11, 12, 14] for example.

However, there is also a large interest in degenerate operators, since they appear in very important applications, see [1, 2, 3, 9, 8, 15]. In [1], the authors have obtained null controllability for the problem (1.1) when b does not depend on $\int_0^1 u$.

On the other hand, when b does not depend on x, we will have only nonlocal term without degeneration. In this case, the second author et al. have proved in [10] null controllability for the following n-dimensional problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t + B(u(\cdot,t),t)\Delta u = v1_\omega \text{ in } \Omega\times(0,T),\\ u(x,t) \equiv \text{ on } \partial\Omega\times(0,T),\\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) \text{ in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

This kind of nonlocal terms have important physical motivations. In that work, the authors listed several examples of real world physical models, namely:

- In the case of migration of populations, for instance the bacteria in a container, we may have
$$B(u(\cdot,t),t) = b\left(\int_\Omega u\right),$$
where b is a positive continuous function.
- In the context of reaction-diffusion systems, it is also frequent to find terms of this kind; the particular case
$$B(u(\cdot,t),t) = b\left(\langle L, u(\cdot,t)\rangle\right),$$
where b is a real positive continuous function and L is a continuous linear form on $L^2(\Omega)$, has been investigated for instance by Chang and Chipot [4].
- In the context of hyperbolic equation, terms of the kind
$$B(u(\cdot,t),t) = b\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2\right),$$
appear in the Kirchhoff equations, which arises in nonlinear vibration theory; see for instance [16].

The present work extends the results in [1] for the case in which the operator degenerates at $x=0$ and also have a nonlocal term. Our approach is based on the works [10, 5]. Local null controllability for (1.1) will be obtained by applying the Liusternik's Inverse Mapping Theorem, which can be found in [12, 13]. More precisely, we will define two Hilbert spaces E and F, and a C^1 mapping $H: E\to F$ which are related to the null controllability of (1.1). The appropriate choice of E, F and H is very meticulous and relies on additional estimates for the solutions of the linearized problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \left(a\left(x\right)u_x\right)_x + c(t,x)u = h\chi_\omega + g, & (t,x)\in(0,T)\times(0,1),\\ u(t,1)=0,\ u(t,0)=0 & t\in(0,T),\\ u(0,x)=u_0(x). \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

The crucial ingredient to assure that H satisfies the hypothesis of Liusternik's Theorem is a Carleman type estimate for the solutions of the adjoint system of (1.3), given by

$$\begin{cases} v_t + \left(a\left(x\right)v_x\right)_x - c(t,x)v = F(t,x), & (t,x)\in(0,T)\times(0,1),\\ v(t,1)=0,\ v(t,0)=0 & t\in(0,T). \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

We observe that the Carleman estimate proved in [1] as well as that one proved in [6] are not appropriate here. In fact, the estimate obtained in [1] does not have the observation term in the interior of the domain. In [6] the authors dealt with this problem, but they only considered the degeneracy term of the type x^α. Our Carleman estimate (Proposition 3.2) is a consequence of two others inequalities. Namely, an extension of that one proved in [6] (Proposition 3.1), with the degeneracy term $a = a(x)$ described in assumption A.1, and the Hardy-Poincaré inequality, obtained in [1].

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Under the asssumptions on b and f, the nonlinear system (1.1) is locally null-controllable at any time $T > 0$, i.e., there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, whenever $u_0 \in H_a^1$ and $\|u_0\|_{H_a^1} \leq \varepsilon$, there exist a control $h \in L^2((0,T)\times\omega)$ associated to a state $u = u(t,x)$ satisfying*

$$u(T,x) = 0, \text{ for every } x \in [0,1] \tag{1.5}$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we state some preliminary results. In Section 3, we present a Carleman inequality to the solutions of (1.4) and prove the null controllability for the linear system (1.3). Finally, Section 4 is devoted to the local null controllability of (1.1).

2. Preliminary Results

In this section we state some technical results which are necessary to establish Theorem 1.2. At first, we need to introduce some weighted spaces related to the function a, namely

$$H_a^1 := \{u \in L^2(0,1);\ u \text{ is absolutely continuous in } [0,1],\ \sqrt{a}u_x \in L^2(0,1) \text{ and } u(1) = u(0) = 0\},$$

with the norm defined by $\|u\|_{H_a^1}^2 := \|u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + \|\sqrt{a}u_x\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2$,
and

$$H_a^2 := \{u \in H_a^1;\ au_x \in H^1(0,1)\},$$

with the norm defined by $\|u\|_{H_a^2}^2 := \|u\|_{H_a^1}^2 + \|(au_x)_x\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2$.

Remark 2.1. From inequality (1.2), we can see that the function $x \mapsto \frac{x^r}{a(x)}$ is nondecreasing on $(0,1]$ for all $r \geq K$. As a consequence, $x^2/a(x) \leq 1/a(1)$, for all $x \in (0,1]$.

In order to deal with the degeneracy of a we need the following inequality proved in [1].

Proposition 2.2 (Hardy-Poincaré inequality)**.** *Let $a : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that $u \in C([0,1])$, $a(0) = 0$ and $a > 0$ on $(0,1]$. If there exists $\theta \in (0,1)$ such that the function $x \mapsto a(x)/x^\theta$ is nonincreasing in $(0,1]$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^1 \frac{a(x)}{x^2} w^2(x) \leq C \int_0^1 a(x)|w'(x)|^2, \tag{2.1}$$

for any function w that is locally absolutely continuous on $(0,1]$, continuous at 0 and satisfies

$$w(0) = 0, \text{ and } \int_0^1 a(x)|w'(x)|^2 \ < +\infty.$$

Let us consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t - (a\,(x)\,u_x)_x + c(t,x)u = F(t,x)\chi_\omega, & (t,x) \in (0,T)\times(0,1), \\ u(t,0) = u(t,1) = 0, & t \in (0,T), \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x), & x \in (0,1). \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

where a satisfies assumption A.1, $u_0 \in L^2(0,1)$ and $F \in L^2((0,T)\times(0,1))$.

In [1], the authors use semigroup theory to obtain the next well-posedness result for the problem (2.2).

Proposition 2.3. *If the function a satisfies assumption A.1, then for all $F \in L^2((0,T)\times(0,1))$ and $u_0 \in L^2(0,1)$, there exists a unique weak solution $u \in C^0([0,T];L^2(0,1))\cap L^2(0,T;H_a^1)$ of (2.2). Moreover, if $u_0 \in H_a^1$, then*

$$u \in H^1(0,T;L^2(0,1)) \cap L^2(0,T;H_a^2) \cap C^0([0,T];H_a^1),$$

and there exists $C>0$ such that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|u\|_{H_a^1}^2 + \int_0^T \|u_t\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + \int_0^T \|(au_x)_x\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \leq C\left(\|u_0\|_{H_a^1}^2 + \|F\|_{L^2((0,T)\times(0,1))}^2\right).$$

3. The linearized problem associated to (1.1)

As we have said before, the local null controllability for (1.1) will be obtained from the global null controllability of its linearized problem. In order to obtain that, we consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} v_t + (a(x)v_x)_x - c(t,x)v = F(t,x), & (t,x)\in(0,T)\times(0,1), \\ v(t,1) = v(t,0) = 0 & t\in(0,T), \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

which is the adjoint problem of (2.2) with the forcing term F.

Now we will introduce some functions and notation which will be used from now on. Let $\omega' = (\alpha',\beta') \subset\subset \omega$ and let $\psi : [0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$ be a C^2 function such that

$$\psi(x) := \begin{cases} \int_0^x \frac{y}{a(y)}dy, & x\in[0,\alpha') \\ -\int_{\beta'}^x \frac{y}{a(y)}dy, & x\in[\beta',1]. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

For $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ define

$$\theta(t) := \frac{1}{[t(T-t)]^4},\ \eta(x) := e^{\lambda(|\psi|_\infty+\psi)},\ \sigma(x,t) := \theta(t)\eta(x) \text{ and}$$

$$\varphi(x,t) := \theta(t)(e^{\lambda(|\psi|_\infty+\psi)} - e^{3\lambda|\psi|_\infty}). \tag{3.3}$$

3.1. Carleman inequalities.

The aim of this section is to prove a Carleman type inequality for solutions of the Problem 3.1 with weights which do not vanish at $t=0$. To do this, first we will present a result which we adapted from [6] and that the proof will be given in the Appendix A.

Proposition 3.1. *There exist $C>0$ and $\lambda_0, s_0 > 0$ such that every solution v of (3.1) satisfies, for all $s\geq s_0$ and $\lambda\geq\lambda_0$,*

$$\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}\left((s\lambda)\sigma a v_x^2 + (s\lambda)^2\sigma^2 v^2\right) \leq C\left(\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}|F|^2 \ + (\lambda s)^3\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2s\varphi}\sigma^3 v^2\right) \tag{3.4}$$

Consider a function $m\in C^\infty([0,T])$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} m(t) \geq t^4(T-t)^4, & t\in[0,T/2]; \\ m(t) = t^4(T-t)^4, & t\in[T/2,T]; \\ m(0) > 0, \end{cases}$$

and define

$$\tau(t) := \frac{1}{m(t)},\quad \varsigma(x,t) := \tau(t)\eta(x) \quad \text{and} \quad A(t,x) := \tau(t)(e^{\lambda(|\psi|_\infty+\psi)} - e^{3\lambda|\psi|_\infty}),$$

where $(t,x)\in[0,T)\times[0,1]$. As usual, we introduce the operators

$$\Gamma(s,\xi):=\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2sA}\left[s\tau a\xi_x^2+(s\tau)^3\frac{x^2}{a}\xi^2\right]$$

and

$$\Gamma_1(s,\xi):=\int_0^{T/2}\int_0^1 e^{2sA}\left[s\tau a\xi_x^2+(s\tau)^3\frac{x^2}{a}\xi^2\right],\ \Gamma_2(s,\xi):=\int_{T/2}^T\int_0^1 e^{2sA}\left[s\tau a\xi_x^2+(s\tau)^3\frac{x^2}{a}\xi^2\right].$$

Proposition 3.2 (Carleman Estimate)**.** *There exist $C>0$ and $s_0>0$ such that every solution v of (3.1) satisfies, for all $s\geq s_0$,*

$$\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2sA}\left((s\lambda)\varsigma a v_x^2+(s\lambda)^2\varsigma^2v^2\right)\leq C\left(\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2sA}|F|^2\ +s^3\lambda^3\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2sA}\varsigma^3v^2\right)$$

Proof. Firstly, we observe that $e^{2s\varphi}\leq e^{2sA}$ and $e^{2s\varphi}\sigma^3\leq Ce^{2sA}\varsigma^3$ for all $(t,x)\in[0,T]\times[0,1]$.

Secondly, since $\tau=\theta$ and $A=\varphi$ in $[T/2,T]$, Carleman inequality (3.4) implies

$$\Gamma_2(s,v)\leq C\left(\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2A\varphi}|F|^2\ +(\lambda s)^3\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2sA}\varsigma^3v^2\right).$$

Following the arguments developed in [5], Proposition 2.3 page 488, we can prove that

$$\Gamma_1(s,v)\leq C\left(\int_{T/4}^{3T/4}\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}(s\lambda)^2\sigma^2|v|^2+\int_0^{3T/4}\int_0^1 e^{2sA}|F|^2\right).$$

Finally, we can use Proposition 3.1 and obtain the result.

□

3.2. **A null controllability result for the linear system.**

The last goal of this section is to establish a result of global null controllability for the linear problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t-\left(a\left(x\right)u_x\right)_x+c(t,x)u=h\chi_\omega+g, & (t,x)\in(0,T)\times(0,1);\\ u(t,1)=0,\ u(t,0)=0, & t\in(0,T);\\ u(0,x)=u_0(x), \end{cases}\tag{3.5}$$

where $g\in L^2((0,T)\times(0,1))$, $h\in L^2((0,T)\times\omega)$ and a satisfy the assumption A.1. In order to state this result, we need to define the weight functions

$$\rho:=e^{-sA},\quad \rho_0:=e^{-sA}\varsigma^{-1},\quad \widehat{\rho}:=e^{-sA}\varsigma^{-2},\quad \rho_*:=e^{-sA}\varsigma^{-3},$$

which satisfy $\rho_*\leq C\widehat{\rho}\leq C\rho_0\leq C\rho$ and $\widehat{\rho}^{\,2}=\rho_*\rho_0$.

Proposition 3.3. *If $u_0\in H_a^1(0,1)$ and the function g fulfills*

$$\int_0^T\int_0^1\rho_0^2|g|^2<\infty,$$

then the system (3.5) is null-controllable. More precisely, there exists a control $h\in L^2((0,T)\times\omega)$ with associated state u satisfying

$$\int_0^T\int_\omega\rho_*^2|h|^2<+\infty,\quad \int_0^T\int_0^1\rho_0^2|u|^2<+\infty.\tag{3.6}$$

In particular, $u(T,x)\equiv0$, for all $x\in[0,1]$.

Proof. Firstly, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$A_n(t,x) = \frac{A(T-t)^4}{(T-t)^4 + \frac{1}{n}} \quad \textit{and} \quad \varsigma_n(t,x) = \frac{\varsigma(T-t)^4}{(T-t)^4 + \frac{1}{n}},$$

where $t \in (0,T)$. We also consider

$$\rho_n = e^{-sA_n},\ \rho_{0,n} = \rho_n \varsigma_n^{-1} \quad \textit{and} \quad \rho_{*,n} = \rho_* m_n = \rho \varsigma^{-3} m_n,$$

where $m_n(x) = 1$ if $x \in \omega$ and $m_n(x) = n$ if $x \notin \omega$.

For any two functions $u \in L^2((0,T)\times(0,1))$ and $h \in L^2((0,T)\times\omega)$, define

$$J_n(u,h) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_{0,n}^2 |u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \int_\omega \rho_{*,n}^2 |h|^2.$$

Since each J_n is lower semicontinuous, strictly convex and coercive, there exists $(u_n, h_n) \in \Lambda := \{(u,h); h \in L^2((0,T)\times\omega) \text{ and } (u,h) \text{ solves } (3.5)\}$, such that

$$J_n(u_n,h_n) = \min\{J_n(u,h); (u,h) \in \Lambda\}.$$

In this case, (u_n, h_n) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} u_{n,t} - (au_{n,x})_x + cu_n = h_n\chi_\omega + g, & (t,x) \in (0,T)\times(0,1), \\ u_n(t,0) = u_n(t,1) = 0, & t \in (0,T), \\ u_n(0,x) = u_0, & x \in (0,1), \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

and Lagrange's Principle assures the existence of a function p_n solving

$$\begin{cases} -p_{n,t} - (ap_{n,x})_x + cp_n = -\rho_{0,n}^2 u_n, & (t,x) \in (0,T)\times(0,1), \\ p_n(t,0) = p_n(t,1) = 0, & t \in (0,T), \\ p_n(T,x) = 0, & x \in (0,1), \\ p_n(t,x) = \rho_{*,n}^2 h_n, & (t,x) \in (0,T)\times\omega. \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

By standard arguments, we can prove that $J_n(u_n,h_n) \leq C\sqrt{J_n(u_n,h_n)}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., $(J_n(u_n,h_n))_{n=1}^\infty$ is a numerical bounded sequence.

Since $\rho_{0,n}^2, \rho_{*,n}^2 \geq C$, we deduce that

$$\int_0^T \int_0^1 |u_n|^2 + \int_0^T \int_\omega |h_n|^2 \leq C J_n(u_n,h_n) \leq C.$$

It means that there exist $u \in L^2((0,T)\times(0,1))$ and $h \in L^2((0,T)\times\omega)$ such that, up to subsequences, we have

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{and} \quad h_n \rightharpoonup h \quad \text{in } L^2((0,T)\times(0,1)).$$

From this, we take

$$\rho_{0,n}u_n \rightharpoonup \rho_0 u \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{*,n}h_n \rightharpoonup \rho_* h \quad \text{in } L^2((0,T)\times(0,1)). \tag{3.9}$$

Consequently, passing to limits as $n \to +\infty$, we conclude that (u,h) solves (3.5).

Furthermore, (3.6) follows from (3.9). This establishes the result.

□

4. Main Result

This section is devoted to prove Theorem 1.2. As we have said in the introduction, our approach relies on setting an appropriate mapping $H : E \to F$ for which we will apply Liusternik's Theorem.

4.1. **Functional Spaces.**

Consider the Hilbert spaces E and F

$$E := \Big\{(u,h); u \in L^2((0,T)\times(0,1)), h \in L^2((0,T)\times\omega), u_t, u_x, (a(x)u_x)_x, \rho_* h \in L^2((0,T)\times\omega),$$
$$\rho_0 u, \rho_0(u_t - (a(x)u_x)_x - h\chi_\omega) \in L^2((0,T)\times(0,1)) u(\cdot,1) \equiv u(\cdot,0) \equiv 0, u(0,\cdot) \in H_a^1\Big\}$$

and

$$F := G \times H_a^1, \text{ where } G := \left\{g \in L^2((0,T)\times(0,1));\ \rho_0 g \in L^2((0,T)\times(0,1))\right\},$$

with the norms

$$\|(u,h)\|_E^2 := \int_0^T\int_0^1 \rho_0^2|u|^2 + \int_0^T\int_\omega \rho_*^2|h|^2 \int_0^T\int_0^1 \rho_0^2|u_t - (a(x)u_x)_x - h\chi_\omega|^2 + \|u(\cdot,0)\|_{H_a^1}^2$$

and

$$\|(g,v)\|_F^2 := \int_0^T\int_0^1 \rho_0^2 g^2 + \int_0^T\int_0^1 v^2 + \int_0^T\int_0^1 a v_x^2.$$

Next, we will state a crucial result that will allow us to set the mapping H. Its proof is a consequence of two lemmas which will be established right below.

Proposition 4.1. *There exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^T\int_0^1 \rho_*^2(|u_t|^2 + |(a(x)u_x)_x|^2) \le C\|(u,h)\|_E^2,$$

for all $(u,h) \in E$.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume the hypothesis of Theorem 3.3. Then*

$$\int_0^T\int_0^1 \widehat{\rho}^2 a(x)|u_x|^2 \le C\left(\int_0^T\int_0^1 \rho_0^2|u|^2 + \int_0^T\int_\omega \rho_*^2|h|^2 + \int_0^T\int_0^1 \rho_0^2 g^2 + \|u_0\|_{H_a^1}^2\right)$$

Proof. Multiplying the PDE in (3.5) by $\widehat{\rho}^2 u$, integrating in $[0,1]$ and using the two relations

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^1 \widehat{\rho}^2 u^2 = \int_0^1 \widehat{\rho}^2 u_t u + \int_0^1 \widehat{\rho}\,\widehat{\rho}_t u^2$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \widehat{\rho}^2 (a u_x)_x u \ = -2\int_0^1 \widehat{\rho}\,\widehat{\rho}_x a u u_x - \int_0^1 \widehat{\rho}^2 a u_x^2,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^1 \widehat{\rho}^2 u^2 \ + \int_0^1 \widehat{\rho}^2 a u_x^2 &= -\int_0^1 \widehat{\rho}^2 c u^2 + \int_0^1 \widehat{\rho}^2 u h\chi_\omega + \int_0^1 \widehat{\rho}^2 g u + \int_0^1 \widehat{\rho}\,\widehat{\rho}_t u^2 - 2\int_0^1 \widehat{\rho}\,\widehat{\rho}_x a u u_x \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Now, using $\rho_* \leq C\widehat{\rho} \leq C\rho_0 \leq C\rho$ and $\rho_*\rho_0 = \widehat{\rho}^{\,2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\leq C\int_0^1 \rho_0^2|u|^2,\\
I_2 &\leq C\left(\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \rho_*^2|h\chi_\omega|^2 \ + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \rho_0^2|u|^2\right),\\
I_3 &\leq C\left(\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \rho_0^2|g|^2 \ + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \rho_0^2|u|^2\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us estimate I_4. First, we will rewrite A as $A(t,x) = \varsigma(t,x)\bar{\eta}(x)$, where $\bar{\eta}(x) := (e^{\lambda(|\psi|_\infty+\psi)} - e^{2\lambda|\psi|_\infty})/\eta(x)$. Second, note that

$$
\widehat{\rho}\,\widehat{\rho}_{\,t} = -se^{-2sA}\bar{\eta}(x)\varsigma^{-4}\varsigma_t - 2e^{-2sA}\varsigma^{-5}\varsigma_t.
$$

Then, for all $t \in [0,T]$,

$$
|\widehat{\rho}\,\widehat{\rho}_{\,t}| \leq C\rho_0^2|\varsigma^{-2} + \varsigma^{-3}|\,|\varsigma_t| \leq C\rho_0^2,
$$

whence

$$
I_4 \leq C\int_0^1 \rho_0^2|u|^2.
$$

Now, using

$$
\widehat{\rho}_x^{\,2}au^2 \leq Ce^{-2sA}\varsigma^{-2}\left|\varsigma^{-2} + \varsigma^{-4}\right||\varsigma_x^2|au^2 \leq c\rho_0^2u^2,
$$

we obtain

$$
I_5 \leq 2\int_0^1 |\widehat{\rho}\sqrt{a}u_x||\widehat{\rho}_{\,x}\sqrt{a}u| \leq \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \widehat{\rho}^{\,2}au_x^2 + 2\int_0^1 \widehat{\rho}_x^{\,2}au^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \widehat{\rho}^{\,2}au_x^2 + C\int_0^1 \rho_0^2u^2.
$$

Hence, (4.1) gives us

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^1 \widehat{\rho}^{\,2}|u|^2 + \int_0^1 \widehat{\rho}^{\,2}a|u_x|^2 \leq C\left(\int_0^1 \rho_0^2|u|^2\int_0^1 \rho_*^2|h\chi_\omega|^2\int_0^1 \rho_0^2|g|^2\right).
$$

Integrating in time, the result follows.

□

Lemma 4.3. *Assume the hypothesis of Theorem 3.3 and suppose that h and u satisfy (3.5) and (3.6). Then*

$$
\int_0^T\int_0^1 \rho_*^2u_t^2 + \int_0^T\int_0^1 \rho_*^2|(a(x)u_x)_x|^2 \leq C\left(\int_0^T\int_0^1 \rho_0^2u^2 + \int_0^T\int_\omega \rho_*^2h^2 + \int_0^T\int_0^1 \rho_0^2g^2 + \|u_0\|_{H_a^1}^2\right).
$$

Proof. In the first step, we will estimate the first term of left side of the inequality. Multiplying the PDE in (3.5) by $\rho_*^2u_t$ and integrating in $[0,1]$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 \rho_*^2u_t^2 &= \int_0^1 \rho_*^2u_th\chi_\omega + \int_0^1 \rho_*^2gu_t - \int_0^1 c(t,x)\rho_*^2uu_t + \int_0^1 \rho_*^2(au_x)_xu_t\\
&= I_1 + I_2 - I_3 + I_4. \qquad (4.2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Young's inequality with ε and $\rho_* \leq C\widehat{\rho} \leq C\rho_0 \leq C\rho$ we obtain

$$I_1 \leq \int_0^1 \rho_*^2 |h\chi_\omega||u_t| \ \leq \varepsilon \int_0^1 \rho_*^2 |u_t|^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\int_0^1 \rho_*^2 |h\chi_\omega|^2,$$
$$I_2 \leq \int_0^1 \rho_*^2 |gu_t| \leq \varepsilon \int_0^1 \rho_*^2 |u_t|^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\int_0^1 \rho_*^2 |g|^2 \leq \varepsilon \int_0^1 \rho_*^2 |u_t|^2 + \frac{C}{4\varepsilon}\int_0^1 \rho_0^2 |g|^2$$

and

$$-I_3 \leq \int_0^1 |c(t,x)|\rho_*^2 |uu_t| \leq C\left(\varepsilon \int_0^1 \rho_*^2 u_t^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\int_0^1 \rho_0^2 u^2\right).$$

Now, integrating I_4 by parts, we can see that

$$I_4 = \rho_*^2 a u_x u_t|_{x=0}^{x=1} - \int_0^1 (\rho_*^2 u_t)_x a u_x = -2\int_0^1 \rho_*(\rho_*)_x a u_t u_x - \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^1 \rho_*^2 a u_x^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 (\rho_*^2)_t a u_x^2$$
$$= -2I_{41} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^1 \rho_*^2 a u_x^2 \ + \frac{1}{2} I_{42}. \tag{4.3}$$

Hence,

$$\int_0^1 \rho_*^2 |u_t|^2 \ + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^1 \rho_*^2 a |u_x|^2 \ = I_1 + I_2 - I_3 - 2I_{41} + \frac{1}{2} I_{42}. \tag{4.4}$$

Since

$$|\rho_*(\rho_*)_x a u_x u_t| \leq C|\rho_* u_t||\widehat{\rho}\sqrt{a}u_x|$$

and

$$|(\rho_*^2)_t| = 2|\rho_*(\rho_*)_t| \leq C\widehat{\rho}^2,$$

we have

$$I_{41} \leq \frac{1}{4}\int_0^1 \rho_*^2 u_t^2 + C\int_0^1 \widehat{\rho}^2 a u_x^2$$

and

$$I_{42} \leq C\int_0^1 \widehat{\rho}^2 a u_x^2.$$

For the estimates of I_1, I_2, I_3, I_{41} and I_{42} in the (4.4) we obtain

$$\int_0^1 \rho_*^2 u_t^2 \ + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^1 \rho_*^2 a u_x^2 \ \leq C\left(\int_0^1 \rho_*^2 |h\chi_\omega|^2 + \int_0^1 \rho_0^2 g^2 \ + \int_0^1 \rho_0^2 u^2 + \int_0^1 \widehat{\rho}^2 a u_x^2\right),$$

which implies

$$\int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_*^2 u_t^2 \leq C\left(\int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_0^2 u^2 + \int_0^T \int_\omega \rho_*^2 h^2 \ + \int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_0^2 g^2 + \|u_0\|_{H_a^1}^2\right). \tag{4.5}$$

In the second part, we must estimate the term $\int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_*^2 |(au_x)_x|^2$. Multiplying the PDE in (3.5) by $-\rho_*^2 (au_x)_x$ and integrating in $[0,1]$, we take

$$\int_0^1 \rho_*^2 |(au_x)_x|^2 = -\int_0^1 \rho_*^2 h\chi_\omega (au_x)_x - \int_0^1 \rho_*^2 g (au_x)_x + \int_0^1 c(t,x)\rho_*^2 u (au_x)_x + \int_0^1 \rho_*^2 u_t (au_x)_x$$
$$= -J_1 - J_2 + J_3 + I_4.$$

Again, applying Young's inequality with ε, we obtain

$$J_1 \leq \int_0^1 \rho_*^2 |h\chi_\omega||(au_x)_x| \leq \varepsilon \int_0^1 \rho_*^2 |(au_x)_x|^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\int_0^1 \rho_*^2 |h\chi_\omega|^2.$$

$$J_2 \leq \int_0^1 \rho_*^2 |g||(au_x)_x| \leq \varepsilon \int_0^1 \rho_*^2 |(au_x)_x|^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\int_0^1 \rho_0^2 g^2.$$

$$J_3 \leq C\left(\varepsilon \int_0^1 \rho_*^2 |(au_x)_x|^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\int_0^1 \rho_0^2 u^2.\right)$$

Recalling the identities (4.3) and (4.5), we have

$$\int_0^1 \rho_*^2 |(au_x)_x|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^1 \rho_*^2 a|u_x|^2 \leq C\left(\int_0^1 \rho_*^2 |h\chi_\omega|^2 + \int_0^1 \rho_0^2 |k|^2 + \int_0^1 \rho_0^2 |u|^2 + \int_0^1 \widehat{\rho}^2 a|u_x|^2\right)$$

Integrating in time and recalling Lemma (4.2), we conclude the proof. □

In order to establish the last result of this section, we need to prove a technical lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\beta(x) = e^{\lambda(|\psi|_\infty + \psi)} - e^{3\lambda|\psi|_\infty}$ and $\bar{\beta} = \max_{x\in[0,1]} \beta(x)$. There exists $s > 0$ such that if $s\bar{\beta} < M < 0$, then*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left\{ e^{-2M/m(t)} \left(\int_0^1 u \right)^2 \right\} \leq C\|(u,h)\|_E^2,$$

for all $(u,h) \in E$.

Proof. Firstly, consider $(u,h) \in E$ and $q : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$q(t) := e^{-M/m(t)} \int_0^1 u(t,x).$$

Claim 1: There exists $s > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that $e^{-2M/m(t)} \leq C\rho_*^2$.

Indeed, take $k > 0$ and $C > 0$ satisfying $e^{-k/x} \leq Cx^3$ for all $x > 0$. In this case, we have

$$e^{-k/m(t)} \leq Cm^3(t), \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in [0,T].$$

Now, taking $s > 0$ such that $2s(\bar{\beta} - \beta) > k$, we obtain

$$e^{-2M/m(t)+2sA} = e^{(-2M+2s\beta)/m(t)} < e^{-k/m(t)} < Cm^3(t), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0,T],$$

whence we deduce that

$$e^{-2M/m(t)} \leq Ce^{-2sA}\tau^{-3} \leq C\rho_*^2, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0,T].$$

Claim 2: $\|q\|_{H^1(0,T)} \leq C\|(u,h)\|_E$.

In fact, since $\rho_*^2 \leq C\rho_0^2$, Claim 1 and Proposition 4.1 imply

$$\|q\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 \leq \int_0^T \int_0^1 e^{-2M/m(t)}u^2 \ \leq C\int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_*^2 u^2 \leq C\|(u,h)\|_E^2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|q'\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 &= \int_0^T \left| \frac{Mm'(t)}{m^2(t)} q(t) + e^{-M/m(t)} \int_0^1 u_t \right|^2 \leq C\int_0^T \frac{M^2}{m^4(t)}|q(t)|^2 + C\int_0^T \int_0^1 e^{-2M/m(t)}u_t^2 \\
&\leq C\int_0^T \int_0^1 \frac{M^2 e^{-2M/m(t)}}{m^4(t)} u^2 + C\int_0^T \int_0^1 e^{-2M/m(t)}u_t^2 \leq C\int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_0^2|u|^2 + C\int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_*^2|u_t|^2 \\
&\leq C\|(u,h)\|_E^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof of Claim 2.

As a conclusion, the proof comes from Claim 2 and the continuous embedding $H^1(0,T) \hookrightarrow C(0,T)$. □

Proposition 4.5. *There exists $C>0$ such that*

$$\int_0^T\int_0^1 \rho_0^2\left(\int_0^1 \bar{u}\right)^2 |(au_x)_x|^2 \leq C\|(u,h)\|_E^2\|(\bar{u},\bar{h})\|_E^2,$$

for any $(u,h),(\bar{u},\bar{h})\in E$.

Proof. In fact, since $\tau^4 \leq \frac{3}{-2M^4}e^{-2M/m(t)}$, applying Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.4 we have

$$\int_0^T\int_0^1 \rho_0^2\left(\int_0^1 u\right)^2 |(au_x)_x|^2 \leq C\int_0^T\int_0^1 \tau^4\left(\int_0^1 \bar{u}\right)^2 \rho_*^2\,|(au_x)_x|^2$$
$$\leq C\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left\{e^{-2M/m(t)}\left(\int_0^1 \bar{u}\right)^2\right\}\int_0^T\int_0^1 \rho_*^2\,|(au_x)_x|^2 \leq C\|(u,h)\|_E^2\|(\bar{u},\bar{h})\|_E^2.$$

□

4.2. **Local Null Controllability for the nonlinear system.**

Consider the mapping $H: E\to F$, defined by

$$H(u,h) := (H_1(u,h),H_2(u,h)) := \left(u_t - \left(b\left(x,\int_0^1 u\right)u_x\right)_x + f(t,x,u) - h\chi_\omega, u(\cdot,0)\right).$$

Our goal is to prove that H verifies the hypothesis of the following version of Liusternik's Theorem.

Theorem 4.6 (Liusternik)**.** *Let E and F be two Banach spaces, $H: E\to F$ a C^1 mapping and $\eta_0 = H(0)$. If $H'(0): E\to F$ is onto, then there exist $\varepsilon>0$ and $\tilde{H}: B_\varepsilon(\eta_0)\subset F\to E$ such that*

$$H(\tilde{H}(\xi)) = \xi,\ \forall \xi\in B_\varepsilon(\eta_0),$$

that is, $\tilde{H}$ is a right inverse of H.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $H: E\to F$ be the mapping defined by (4.6). Then H is well defined.*

Proof. Indeed, given $(u,h)\in E$, we already have $H_2(u,h) = u(0,\cdot)\in H_a^1$. Moreover,

$$\int_0^T\int_0^1 \rho_0^2|H_1(u,h)|^2 = \int_0^T\int_0^1 \rho_0^2\left|u_t - \left(b\left(x,\int_0^1 u\right)u_x\right)_x + f(t,x,u) - h\chi_\omega\right|^2$$
$$\leq 3\int_0^T\int_0^1 \rho_0^2\left|u_t - \left(b\left(x,0\right)u_x\right)_x - h\chi_\omega\right|^2 + 3\int_0^T\int_0^1 \rho_0^2\left|\left(\left[b\left(x,\int_0^1 u\right) - b\left(x,0\right)\right]u_x\right)_x\right|^2$$
$$+ 3\int_0^T\int_0^1 \rho_0^2\left|f(t,x,u)\right|^2$$
$$\leq 3A_1 + 3A_2 + 3A_3.$$

The definition of the space E gives us $A_1\leq \|(u,h)\|_E^2$. Also, the assumption A.2 implies

$$A_3 = \int_0^T\int_0^1 \rho_0^2|f(t,x,u) - f(t,x,0)|^2 \leq C\int_0^T\int_0^1 \rho_0^2|u|^2 \leq \|(u,h)\|_E^2.$$

It remains to analyze A_2. Since ℓ is Lipschitz-continuous and applying Proposition 4.5 we have

$$A_2 = \int_0^T\int_0^1 \rho_0^2\left|\left[\ell\left(\int_0^1 u\right) - \ell(0)\right](a(x)u_x)_x\right|^2 \leq C\int_0^T\int_0^1 \rho_0^2\left(\int_0^1 u\right)^2|(a(x)u_x)_x|^2 \leq C\|(u,h)\|_E^4.$$

In this case, we also have $H_1(u,h)\in G$, which completes the proof. □

Lemma 4.8. *The mapping H is of class C^1.*

Proof. It is clear that $H_2 \in C^1$. We will prove that H_1 has a continuous Gateaux derivative on E.

For $(u,h),(\bar{u},\bar{h}) \in E$ and $\lambda > 0$, set

$$b := b\left(x, \int_0^1 u\right), \qquad b_\lambda := b\left(x, \int_0^1 (u+\lambda\bar{u})\right), \qquad b_2 := D_2 b\left(x, \int_0^1 u\right),$$
$$f := f(t,x,u), \qquad f_\lambda := f(t,x,u+\lambda\bar{u}), \qquad f_3 := D_3 f(t,x,u).$$

Claim 1: Given $(u,h) \in E$, the linear mapping $L : E \to F$, defined by

$$L(\bar{u},\bar{h}) := \bar{u}_t - \left(b_2 \cdot \left(\int_0^1 \bar{u}\right) u_x + b\bar{u}_x\right)_x + f_3\bar{u} - \bar{h}\chi_\omega,$$

is the Gateaux derivative of H_1 at $(u,h) \in E$.

Indeed, for any $(\bar{u},\bar{h}) \in E$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(H_1(u+\lambda\bar{u}, h+\lambda\bar{h}) - H_1(u,h)\right) - L(\bar{u},\bar{h}) \right\|_G \\
&= \left\| \bar{u}_t - (b_\lambda \bar{u}_x)_x - \frac{1}{\lambda}\left((b_\lambda - b)u_x\right)_x + \frac{1}{\lambda}(f_\lambda - f) - \bar{h}\chi_\omega - L(\bar{u},\bar{h}) \right\|_G \\
&\leq \|((b_\lambda - b)\bar{u}_x)_x\|_G + \left\| \left(\left[\frac{1}{\lambda}(b_\lambda - b) - b_2 \left(\int_0^1 \bar{u} \right) \right] u_x \right)_x \right\|_G + \left\| \frac{1}{\lambda}(f_\lambda - f) - f_3\bar{u} \right\|_G \\
&= B_1 + B_2 + B_3.
\end{aligned}$$

We must prove that $B_i \to 0$, as $\lambda \to 0$, for all $i = 1,2,3$.

Firstly, recalling the assumption A.2, we apply mean value and Lebesgue's theorems to obtain

$$B_3^2 \leq \int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_0^2 \left| (D_3 f(t,x,u_\lambda^*) - D_3 f(t,x,u))\bar{u} \right|^2 \to 0,$$

as $\lambda \to 0$.

Secondly, the assumption A.1 and Proposition 4.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1^2 &= \int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_0^2 \left| \ell\left(\int_0^1 (u+\lambda\bar{u})\right) - \ell\left(\int_0^1 u\right) \right|^2 |(au_x)_x|^2 \leq C \int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_0^2 \left(\int_0^1 \lambda\bar{u} \right)^2 |(au_x)_x|^2 \\
&\leq C\lambda^2 \|(\bar{u},\bar{h})\|_E^2 \|(u,h)\|_E^2 \to 0,
\end{aligned}$$

as $\lambda \to 0$.

In a similar way, using the assumption A.1 and Mean Value Theorem, for each $\lambda > 0$, there exists $\xi_\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, between $\int_0^1 u$ and $\int_0^1 (u+\lambda\bar{u})$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
B_2^2 &= \int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_0^2 \left| \frac{1}{\lambda}\left[\ell\left(\int_0^1 (u+\lambda\bar{u})\right) - \ell\left(\int_0^1 u\right) \right] - \ell'\left(\int_0^1 u\right)\left(\int_0^1 \bar{u}\right) \right|^2 |(au_x)_x|^2 \\
&= \int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_0^2 \left| \ell'(\xi_\lambda)\left(\int_0^1 \bar{u}\right) - \ell'\left(\int_0^1 u\right)\left(\int_0^1 \bar{u}\right) \right|^2 |(au_x)_x|^2 \\
&= \int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_0^2 \left| \ell'(\xi_\lambda) - \ell'\left(\int_0^1 u\right) \right|^2 \left(\int_0^1 \bar{u}\right)^2 |(au_x)_x|^2 \to 0,
\end{aligned}$$

as $\lambda \to 0$. Thus, we have concluded the Claim 1.

Claim 2: The Gateaux derivative $H_1' : E \to \mathcal{L}(E,G)$ is continuous.

Take $(u,h)\in E$. Let $((u^n,h^n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence such that $\|(u^n,h^n)-(u,h)\|_E\to 0$. We will prove that $\|H_1'(u^n,h^n)-H_1'(u,h)\|_{\mathcal{L}(E,G)}\to 0$. In fact, consider $(\bar u,\bar h)$ on the unit sphere of E. Since

$$\begin{aligned}H_1'(u,h)(\bar u,\bar h)=&\bar u_t-\left(D_2b\left(x,\int_0^1 u\right)\left(\int_0^1\bar u\right)u_x+b\left(x,\int_0^1 u\right)\bar u_x\right)_x\\&+D_3f(t,x,u)\bar u+\bar h\chi_\omega,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}H_1'(u^n,h^n)(\bar u,\bar h)=&\bar u_t-\left(D_2b\left(x,\int_0^1 u^n\right)\left(\int_0^1\bar u\right)u^n_x+b\left(x,\int_0^1 u^n\right)\bar u_x\right)_x\\&+D_3f(t,x,u^n)\bar u+\bar h\chi_\omega,\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(H_1'(u^n,h^n)-H_1'(u,h))(\bar u,\bar h)\|_G^2\\&\quad\le C\int_Q\rho_0^2\left(\int_0^1\bar u\right)^2\left[\ell'\left(\int_0^1 u\right)\right]^2|[a(u-u^n)_x]_x|^2\\&\qquad+C\int_0^T\int_0^1\rho_0^2\left(\int_0^1\bar u\right)^2\left|\ell'\left(\int_0^1 u\right)-\ell'\left(\int_0^1 u^n\right)\right|^2|(au^n_x)_x|^2\\&\qquad+C\int_0^T\int_0^1\rho_0^2\left|\ell\left(\int_0^1 u\right)-\ell\left(\int_0^1 u^n\right)\right|^2|(a\bar u_x)_x|^2\\&\qquad+C\int_0^T\int_0^1\rho_0^2|\bar u|^2|D_3f(t,x,u^n)-D_3f(t,x,u)|^2\\&\quad\le C\int_Q\rho_0^2\left(\int_0^1\bar u\right)^2|[a(u-u^n)_x]_x|^2\\&\qquad+C\int_0^T\int_0^1\rho_0^2\left(\int_0^1\bar u\right)^2\left|\ell'\left(\int_0^1 u\right)-\ell'\left(\int_0^1 u^n\right)\right|^2|(au^n_x)_x|^2\\&\qquad+C\int_0^T\int_0^1\rho_0^2\left|\int_0^1(u^n-u)\right|^2|(a\bar u_x)_x|^2\\&\qquad+C\int_0^T\int_0^1\rho_0^2|\bar u|^2|D_3f(t,x,u^n)-D_3f(t,x,u)|^2\\&\quad:=C(I_1+I_2+I_3+I_4).\end{aligned}$$

Due to Proposition 4.1, we have

$$I_1=\int_Q\rho_0^2\left(\int_0^1\bar u\right)^2|[a(u-u^n)_x]_x|^2\le C\|(\bar u,\bar h)\|_E^2\int_0^T\int_0^1\rho_*^2|(a(u_n-u)_x)_x|^2\le C\|(u^n,h^n)-(u,h)\|_E^2.$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= \int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_0^2 \left(\int_0^1 \bar{u}\right)^2 \left|\ell'\left(\int_0^1 u\right) - \ell'\left(\int_0^1 u^n\right)\right|^2 |(au_x^n)_x|^2 \\
&\leq C \int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_0^2 \left(\int_0^1 \bar{u}\right)^2 \left|\ell'\left(\int_0^1 u\right) - \ell'\left(\int_0^1 u^n\right)\right|^2 |(a(u^n-u)_x)_x|^2 \\
&+ C \int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_0^2 \left(\int_0^1 \bar{u}\right)^2 \left|\ell'\left(\int_0^1 u\right) - \ell'\left(\int_0^1 u^n\right)\right|^2 |(au_x)_x|^2 \\
&:= I_{21} + I_{22}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using assumption A.1 and applying Lemma 4.1 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{21} &= \int_0^T \int_0^1 e^{-sA}\tau^4 \left(\int_0^1 \bar{u}\right)^2 \left|\ell'\left(\int_0^1 u\right) - \ell'\left(\int_0^1 u^n\right)\right|^2 \rho_*^2 |(a(u^n-u)_x)_x|^2 \\
&\leq C\|(\bar{u},\bar{h})\|_E^2 \|(u^n,h^n)-(u,h)\|_E^2 = C\|(u^n,h^n)-(u,h)\|_E^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Likewise,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{22} &= \int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_0^2 \left(\int_0^1 \bar{u}\right)^2 \left|\ell'\left(\int_0^1 u\right) - \ell'\left(\int_0^1 u^n\right)\right|^2 |(a(u^n-u)_x)_x|^2 \\
&\leq C\|(\bar{u},\bar{h})\|_E^2 \int_0^T \int_0^1 \left|\ell'\left(\int_0^1 u\right) - \ell'\left(\int_0^1 u^n\right)\right|^2 \rho_*^2 |(au_x)_x|^2 \to 0,
\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to +\infty$, where we have used Lebesgue's Theorem.

Analogously, using assumption A.2, Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &= \int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_0^2 \left|\int_0^1 (u^n-u)\right|^2 |(a\bar{u}_x)_x|^2 \leq C\|(u^n,h^n)-(u,h)\|_E^2 \int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_*^2 |(a\bar{u}_x)_x|^2 \\
&\leq C\|(u^n,h^n)-(u,h)\|_E^2 \|(\bar{u},\bar{h})\|_E^2 = C\|(u^n,h^n)-(u,h)\|_E^2 \to 0
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 &= \left(\int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_0^2 |\bar{u}|^2 |D_3 f(t,x,u^n) - D_3 f(t,x,u)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_0^2 |\bar{u}|^2 |D_3 f(t,x,u^n) - D_3 f(t,x,u)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C \left(\int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_0^2 |\bar{u}|^2 |D_3 f(t,x,u^n) - D_3 f(t,x,u)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_0^2 |\bar{u}|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C \left(\int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_0^2 |\bar{u}|^2 |D_3 f(t,x,u^n) - D_3 f(t,x,u)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(\bar{u},\bar{h})\|_E \\
&= C \left(\int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_0^2 |\bar{u}|^2 |D_3 f(t,x,u^n) - D_3 f(t,x,u)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \to 0,
\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to +\infty$. It concludes the proof of Claim 2 as well as this lemma. □

Lemma 4.9. *$H'(0,0) \in \mathcal{L}(E;F)$ is onto.*

Proof. Take $(g, u_0) \in F$. According to Theorem 3.3, there exists $(u, h) \in E$ that solves (3.5). In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} H'(0,0)(u,h) &= (H_1'(0,0)(u,h), H_2'(0,0)(u,h)) \\ &= (u_t - (a(x)u_x)_x + c(t,x)u - h\chi_w, u(\cdot,0)) \\ &= (g, u_0). \end{aligned}$$

It completes the proof of this lemma. □

At this point, we are ready to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We have proved through Lemmas 4.7, 4.8 and 4.9 that H satisfies the hypothesis of Liusternik's Theorem. As a consequence, there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and a right inverse mapping $\widetilde{H} : B_\varepsilon(0) \subset F \to E$ of H. In particular, if $u_0 \in H_a^1$ and $\|u_0\|_{H_a^1} < \varepsilon$ then, $(u, h) := \widetilde{H}(0, u_0)$ solves the system (1.1). Finally, the condition $\int_0^T \int_0^1 \rho_0^2 u^2 < +\infty$ yields

$$u(T, x) = 0, \text{ for all } x \in [0, 1].$$

□

Remark 4.10. Theorem (1.2) is still valid considering the nonlocal terms

$$\int_0^1 u^2 \text{ or } \int_0^1 a(x)u_x^2,$$

instead of $\int_0^1 u$. It is true because we can adapt Lemma 4.4 by using the Sobolev embedding $W^{1,1}(0,T) \hookrightarrow C(0,T)$.

Appendix A. Proof of Proposition 3.1

In order to prove Proposition 3.1, we start considering the system

$$\begin{cases} v_t + (a(x)v_x)_x = h(t,x), & (t,x) \in (0,T)\times(0,1), \\ v(t,1) = v(t,0) = 0 & t \in (0,T). \end{cases} \tag{A.1}$$

Proposition A.1. *There exist $C>0$ and $\lambda_0, s_0 > 0$ such that every solution v of (A.1) satisfies, for all $s \geq s_0$ and $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$,*

$$\int_0^T \int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}\left((s\lambda)\sigma a v_x^2 + (s\lambda)^2\sigma^2 v^2\right) \leq C\left(\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}|h|^2 \ + (\lambda s)^3 \int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2s\varphi}\sigma^3 v^2\right) \tag{A.2}$$

The proof of Proposition A.1 relies on the change of variables $w = e^{s\varphi}v$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} v_t &= e^{-s\varphi}(-s\varphi_t w + w_t), \\ (av_x)_x &= e^{-s\varphi}(s^2\varphi_x^2 aw - s(a\varphi_x)_x w - 2sa\varphi_x w_x + (aw_x)_x). \end{aligned}$$

Then, from (A.1), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} L^+w + L^-w = e^{s\varphi}h, & (t,x)\in(0,T)\times(0,1), \\ w(t,1) = w(t,0) = 0, & t\in(0,T). \\ w(x,0) = w(x,T) = 0, & x\in(0,1), \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L^+w &:= -s\varphi_t w + s^2\varphi_x^2 aw + (aw_x)_x, \\ L^-w &:= w_t - s(a\varphi_x)_x w - 2sa\varphi_x w_x. \end{aligned}$$

In this way,

$$\|L^+w\|^2 + \|L^-w\|^2 + 2(L^+w, L^-w) = \|e^{s\varphi}h\|^2,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ and $(\cdot,\cdot)$ denote the norm and the inner product in $L^2((0,T)\times(0,1))$, respectively.

From now on, we will prove Lemmas A.3–A.11. The proof of Proposition A.1 will be a consequence of these lemmas.

Lemma A.2.

$$\begin{aligned} (L^+w, L^-w) = \frac{s}{2}\int_0^T\int_0^1 \varphi_{tt}w^2 - 2s^2\int_0^T\int_0^1 \varphi_{tx}a\varphi_x w^2 + s^3\int_0^T\int_0^1 a\varphi_x(a\varphi_x^2)_x w^2 \\ + s\int_0^T\int_0^1 (a\varphi_x)_{xx}aww_x + 2s\int_0^T\int_0^1 (a\varphi_x)_x aw_x^2 - s\int_0^T\int_0^1 a\varphi_x a_x w_x^2 - s\int_0^T (a^2\varphi_x w_x^2)\Big|_{x=0}^{x=1} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the definition of L^+w and L^-w we have

$$\begin{aligned} (L^+w, L^-w) =& \int_0^T\int_0^1 (-s\varphi_t w + s^2\varphi_x^2 aw + (aw_x)_x)w_t + s^2\int_0^T\int_0^1 \varphi_t w((a\varphi_x)_x w + 2a\varphi_x w_x) \\ & - s^3\int_0^T\int_0^1 \varphi_x^2 aw((a\varphi_x)_x w + 2a\varphi_x w_x) - s\int_0^T\int_0^1 (aw_x)_x((a\varphi_x)_x w + 2a\varphi_x w_x) \\ =& I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$I_1 = \frac{s}{2}\int_0^T\int_0^1 (\varphi_{tt} - 2s\varphi_x\varphi_{xt})w^2,$$

$$I_2 = -s^2 \int_0^T \int_0^1 \varphi_{tx} a \varphi_x w^2,$$
$$I_3 = s^3 \int_0^T \int_0^1 a\varphi_x (a\varphi_x^2)_x w^2$$

and

$$I_4 = s\int_0^T \int_0^1 (a\varphi_x)_{xx} a w w_x + 2s \int_0^T \int_0^1 (a\varphi_x)_x a w_x^2 - s\int_0^T \int_0^1 (a\varphi_x) a_x w_x^2 - s\int_0^T (a^2 \varphi_x w_x^2)\big|_{x=0}^{x=1},$$

which imply the desired result.

□

Lemma A.3. $-s\displaystyle\int_0^T a^2 \varphi_x w_x^2 \big|_{x=0}^{x=1} \geq 0$

Proof. Since $\psi'(x) = x/a$, if $x \in [0, \alpha')$ and $\psi'(x) = -x/a$, if $x \in (\beta' 1]$, we have

$$-s\int_0^T a^2 \varphi_x w_x^2 \big|_{x=0}^{x=1} = -s\lambda \int_0^T a^2 \psi' \sigma w_x^2 \big|_{x=0}^{x=1} \geq 0.$$

□

Lemma A.4.

$$s^3 \int_0^T \int_0^1 a\varphi_x (a\varphi_x^2)_x w^2 \geq C\lambda^4 s^3 \int_0^T \int_0^1 a^2 |\psi'|^4 \sigma^3 w^2 - Cs^3\lambda^3 \int_0^T \int_{\omega'} \sigma^3 w^2 + s^3\lambda^3 \int_0^T \int_0^{\alpha'} \frac{x^2}{a} \sigma^3 w^2$$

Proof. Firstly, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} s^3 \int_0^T \int_0^1 a\varphi_x (a\varphi_x^2)_x w^2 &= s^3\lambda^3 \int_0^T \int_0^1 a\psi' (a(\psi')^2)_x \sigma^3 w^2 + 2s^3\lambda^4 \int_0^T \int_0^1 a^2 (\psi')^4 \sigma^3 w^2 \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

We can see that

$$a\psi'(a(\psi')^2)_x = \begin{cases} \frac{x^2}{a^2}(2a - xa'), & x \in (0, \alpha') \\ -\frac{x^2}{a^2}(2a - xa'), & x \in (\beta', 1), \end{cases}$$

and (1.2) implies $2a - xa' \geq (2 - K)a > a$. Hence,

$$I_1 = s^3\lambda^3 \int_0^T \int_0^{\alpha'} a\psi'(a(\psi')^2)_x \sigma^3 w^2 + s^3\lambda^3 \int_0^T \int_{\omega'} a\psi'(a(\psi')^2)_x \sigma^3 w^2 + s^3\lambda^3 \int_0^T \int_{\beta'}^1 a\psi'(a(\psi')^2)_x \sigma^3 w^2$$
$$\geq s^3\lambda^3 \int_0^T \int_0^{\alpha'} \frac{x^2}{a} \sigma^3 w^2 - Cs^3\lambda^3 \int_0^T \int_{\omega'} \sigma^3 w^2 - Cs^3\lambda^3 \int_0^T \int_0^1 a^2 |\psi'|^4 \sigma^3 w^2$$

We just sum I_1 and I_2, and take λ_0 large enough to obtain the desired inequality.

□

Lemma A.5.

$$2s\int_0^T \int_0^1 (a\varphi_x)_x a w_x^2 \geq -C\int_0^T \int_{\omega'} \sigma w_x^2 + Cs\lambda^2 \int_0^T \int_0^1 a^2 (\psi')^2 \sigma w_x^2 + 2s\lambda \int_0^T \int_0^{\alpha'} a\sigma w_x^2$$

Proof. Observe that

$$2s\int_0^T\int_0^1 (a\varphi_x)_x w_x^2 = 2s\int_0^T\int_0^1 \lambda(a\psi')_x a\sigma w_x^2 + 2s\lambda^2\int_0^T\int_0^1 a^2(\psi')^2\sigma w_x^2 \tag{A.3}$$

Proceeding as in lemma before, we split the first integral over the intervals $[0,\alpha'],\omega'$ and $[\beta',1]$. Since $a^2(\psi')^2 \geq Ca$ in $[\beta',1]$ we can add the integral over $[\beta',1]$ to the last integral of (A.3), which gives us the result.

□

Lemma A.6.

$$-2s^2\int_0^T\int_0^1 \varphi_{tx}a\varphi_x w^2 \geq -Cs^2\lambda^2\left(\int_0^T\int_0^{\alpha'}\frac{x^2}{a}\sigma^3w^2 + \int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\sigma^3w^2 + \int_0^T\int_0^1 a^2|\psi'|^4\sigma^3w^2\right)$$

Proof. First of all,

$$\left|2s^2\int_0^T\int_0^1 \varphi_{tx}a\varphi_x w^2\right| \leq 2s^2\lambda^2\int_0^T\int_0^1 a|\psi'|^2|\theta\theta'|\eta^2w^2 \leq Cs^2\lambda^2\int_0^T\int_0^1 a|\psi'|^2\sigma^3w^2$$

As before, we split the last integral over the intervals $[0,\alpha'],\omega'$ and $[\beta',1]$. The result comes from the boundedness of $a|\psi'|^2$ in ω' and from relations $\psi' = x/a$ in $[0,\alpha']$ and $a|\psi'|^2 \leq Ca^2|\psi'|^4$ in $[b',1]$.

□

Lemma A.7.

$$-s\int_0^T\int_0^1 a\varphi_x a_x w_x^2 \geq -K\lambda s\int_0^T\int_0^{\alpha'} a\sigma w_x^2 - c\lambda s\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\sigma w_x^2$$

Proof. In fact, from the definition of ψ, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}-s\int_0^T\int_0^1 a\varphi_x a_x w_x^2 &= -s\lambda\int_0^T\int_0^1 aa_x\psi'\sigma w_x^2\\ &\geq -Ks\lambda\int_0^T\int_0^{\alpha'} a\sigma w_x^2 - C\lambda s\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\sigma w_x^2,\end{aligned}$$

where we proceeded as in the proof of Lemma A.6. □

Lemma A.8.

$$\begin{aligned}s\int_0^T\int_0^1 (a\varphi_x)_{xx}aw_xw \geq &-Cs^2\lambda^4\int_0^T\int_0^1 a^2|\psi'|^4\sigma^3w^2 - C\lambda^2\int_0^T\int_0^1 a^2|\psi'|^2\sigma w_x^2 - Cs^2\lambda^3\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\sigma^3w^2\\ &- C\lambda\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\sigma w_x^2 - Cs^2\lambda^3\int_0^T\int_0^{\alpha'}\frac{x^2}{a}\sigma^3w^2 - C\lambda\int_0^T\int_0^{\alpha'} a\sigma w_x^2\end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}s\int_0^T\int_0^1 (a\varphi_x)_{xx}aw_xw &= s\lambda\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}(a\psi')_{xx}a\sigma w_xw + 2s\lambda^2\int_0^T\int_0^1 (a\psi')_x\psi' a\sigma w_xw\\ &\quad + s\lambda^2\int_0^T\int_0^1 a^2\psi'\psi''\sigma w_xw + s\lambda^3\int_0^T\int_0^1 a^2(\psi')^3\sigma w_xw\\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4.\end{aligned}$$

The inequality will be obtained by estimating each one of these fours integrals. For I_1, we have

$$|I_1| = \left| s\lambda \int_0^T \int_{\omega'} (a\psi')_{xx} a\sigma w_x w \right| \leq Cs\lambda \int_0^T \int_{\omega'} \sigma^2 |w_x w|$$
$$= Cs\lambda \int_0^T \int_{\omega'} \sigma^{3/2} |w| \sigma^{1/2} |w_x| \leq Cs\lambda \int_0^T \int_{\omega'} \sigma^3 w^2 + Cs\lambda \int_0^T \int_{\omega'} \sigma w_x^2.$$

For I_2, we use the facts $\sigma \leq C\sigma^2$ and $x \leq Ca^2|\psi'|^3$ in $[\beta', 1]$ to obtain

$$|I_2| \leq Cs\lambda^2 \int_0^T \int_0^{\alpha'} x\sigma^2 |ww_x| + Cs\lambda^2 \int_0^T \int_{\omega'} \sigma^2 |ww_x| + Cs\lambda^2 \int_0^T \int_{\beta'}^1 a^2 |\psi'|^3 \sigma^2 |ww_x|$$
$$\leq C \int_0^T \int_0^{\alpha'} \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{a}} \sigma^{3/2} \lambda^{3/2} s|w| \right) (\sqrt{a} \sigma^{1/2} \lambda^{1/2} |w_x|) + C \int_0^T \int_{\omega'} \left(\sigma^{3/2} \lambda^{3/2} s|w| \right) (\sigma^{1/2} \lambda^{1/2} |w_x|)$$
$$+ C \int_0^T \int_{\beta'}^1 \left(s\sigma^{3/2} \lambda^2 a |\psi'|^2 |w| \right) (\sigma^{1/2} a |\psi'| |w_x|)$$
$$\leq C\lambda^3 s^2 \int_0^T \int_0^{\alpha'} \frac{x^2}{a} \sigma^3 w^2 + C\lambda \int_0^T \int_0^{\alpha'} a\sigma w_x^2 + C\lambda^3 s^2 \int_0^T \int_{\omega'} \sigma^3 w^2 + C\lambda \int_0^T \int_{\omega'} \sigma w_x^2$$
$$+ Cs^2 \lambda^4 \int_0^T \int_0^1 a^2 |\psi'|^4 \sigma^3 w^2 + C \int_0^T \int_0^1 a^2 |\psi'|^2 \sigma w_x^2$$

For I_3, recalling the definition of ψ, se observe that

$$|I_3| \leq s\lambda^2 \int_0^T \int_0^{\alpha'} \left| x \left(\frac{a - xa'}{a} \right) \right| \sigma |ww_x| + Cs\lambda^2 \int_0^T \int_{\omega'} \sigma^2 |ww_x| + s\lambda^2 \int_0^T \int_{\beta'}^1 \left| x \left(\frac{a - xa'}{a} \right) \right| \sigma |ww_x|$$
$$\leq Cs\lambda^2 \int_0^T \int_0^{\alpha'} x\sigma^2 |ww_x| + Cs\lambda^2 \int_0^T \int_{\omega'} \sigma^2 |ww_x| + Cs\lambda^2 \int_0^T \int_{\beta'}^1 x\sigma |ww_x|.$$

So, we get the same estimate for I_2. Finally,

$$|I_4| \leq \int_0^T \int_0^1 |s\lambda^2 a(\psi')^2 \sigma^{3/2} w| |\lambda a \psi' \sigma^{1/2} w_x| \leq Cs^2 \lambda^4 \int_0^T \int_0^1 a^2 |\psi'|^4 \sigma^3 w^2 + C\lambda^2 \int_0^T \int_0^1 a^2 |\psi'| \sigma w_x^2,$$

and the proof is complete. □

Lemma A.9.

$$\frac{s}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^1 \varphi_{tt} w^2 \geq -Cs^{1/2} \int_0^T \int_0^{\alpha'} \sigma a w_x^2 - Cs^2 \lambda^2 \int_0^T \int_0^{\alpha'} \frac{x^2}{a} \sigma^3 w^2 - Cs^{1/2} \int_0^T \int_{\omega'} \sigma w_x^2$$
$$- C\lambda^2 s^2 \int_0^T \int_{\omega'} \sigma^3 w^2 - Cs^{1/2} \int_0^T \int_0^1 a^2 |\psi'|^2 \sigma w_x^2 - Cs^2 \lambda^2 \int_0^T \int_0^1 a^2 |\psi'|^4 \sigma^3 w^2$$

Proof. Firstly, we observe that $|\varphi_{tt}| \leq C\sigma^{3/2}$. Then we apply Hardy-Poincaré inequality, to take

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{s}{2}\int_0^T\int_0^1 \varphi_{tt}w^2\right| &\leq Cs\int_0^T\int_0^1 \sigma^{3/2}w^2 \leq \int_0^T\int_0^1 \left(s^{1/4}\sigma^{1/2}\frac{\sqrt{a}}{x}w\right)\left(s^{3/4}\sigma\frac{x}{\sqrt{a}}w\right)\\ &\leq C\int_0^T\int_0^1 s^{1/2}\sigma\frac{a}{x^2}w^2 + C\int_0^T\int_0^1 s^{3/2}\sigma^2\frac{x^2}{a}w^2\\ &\leq C\int_0^T\int_0^1 s^{1/2}\sigma a w_x^2 + C\lambda^2 s^2\int_0^T\int_0^1 \sigma^3\frac{x^2}{a}w^2\end{aligned}$$

Again, the two last intervals can be decomposed in $[0,\alpha']$, ω' and $[\beta',1]$. At this point, relations

$$a \leq Ca^2|\psi'|^2 \text{ and } \frac{x^2}{a} \leq Ca^2|\psi'|^4, \text{ in } [\beta',1],$$

give us the result.

□

Lemma A.10.

$$\begin{aligned}s^3\lambda^3\int_0^T\int_0^{\alpha'}\frac{x^2}{a}\sigma^3w^2 + s\lambda\int_0^T\int_0^{\alpha'}\sigma a w_x^2 + s^3\lambda^4\int_0^T\int_0^1 a^2|\psi'|^4\sigma^3w^2 + s\lambda^2\int_0^T\int_0^1 a^2|\psi'|^2\sigma w_x^2\\ \leq C\left(\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}|h|^2 + s^3\lambda^3\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\sigma^3w^2 + \lambda s\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\sigma w_x^2\right)\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Lemmas A.2-A.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(L^+w, L^-w) \geq C\Bigg(&s^3\lambda^3\int_0^T\int_0^{\alpha'}\frac{x^2}{a}\sigma^3w^2 + s\lambda\int_0^T\int_0^{\alpha'}\sigma a w_x^2 + \lambda^4s^3\int_0^T\int_0^1 a^2|\psi'|^4\sigma^3w^2\\ &+ s\lambda^2\int_0^T\int_0^1 a^2|\psi'|^2\sigma w_x^2 - s^3\lambda^3\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\sigma^3w^3 - \lambda s\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\sigma w_x^2\Bigg).\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}&C\Bigg(s^3\lambda^3\int_0^T\int_0^{\alpha'}\frac{x^2}{a}\sigma^3w^2 + s\lambda\int_0^T\int_0^{\alpha'}\sigma a w_x^2 + \lambda^4s^3\int_0^T\int_0^1 a^2|\psi'|^4\sigma^3w^2\\ &+ s\lambda^2\int_0^T\int_0^1 a^2|\psi'|^2\sigma w_x^2 - s^3\lambda^3\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\sigma^3w^3 - \lambda s\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\sigma w_x^2\Bigg)\\ &\leq \|L^+w\|^2 + \|L^-w\|^2 + 2(L^+w, L^-w) \leq \|e^{s\varphi}h\|^2,\end{aligned}$$

following the result. □

Now, we intend to prove a suitable inequality which will imply Proposition A.1. In order to do that, we recall that $v = e^{-s\varphi}w$.

Lemma A.11.

$$s^3\lambda^3\int_0^T\int_0^{\alpha'} e^{2s\varphi}\frac{x^2}{a}\sigma^3v^2 + s\lambda\int_0^T\int_0^{\alpha'} e^{2s\varphi}\sigma a v_x^2 + s^3\lambda^4\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}a^2|\psi'|^4\sigma^3v^2 + s\lambda^2\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}a^2|\psi'|^2\sigma v_x^2 \leq C\left(\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}|h|^2 + \lambda^3s^3\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2s\varphi}\sigma^3v^2\right)$$

Proof. Since $v = e^{-s\varphi}w$, we have

$$e^{s\varphi}v_x = -s\lambda\psi'\sigma w + w_x$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2s\varphi}s\lambda^2|\psi'|^2a^2\sigma v_x^2 &= (s\lambda^2|\psi'|^2a^2\sigma)e^{2s\varphi}v_x^2 \leq C(s\lambda^2|\psi'|^2a^2\sigma)(s^2\lambda^2|\psi'|^2\sigma^2w^2 + w_x^2)\\ &\leq C(s^3\lambda^4|\psi'|^4\sigma^3a^2w^2 + s\lambda^2|\psi'|^2a^2\sigma w_x^2)\end{aligned}$$

Besides that,

$$\begin{aligned} &w_x = s\varphi_x e^{s\varphi}v + e^{s\varphi}v_x \Rightarrow w_x^2 \leq C(s^2\lambda^2|\psi'|^2\sigma^2e^{2s\varphi}v^2 + e^{2s\varphi}v_x^2)\\ \Rightarrow &w_x^2 \leq C(s^2\lambda^2\sigma^2e^{2s\varphi}v^2 + e^{2s\varphi}av_x^2), \text{ in } \omega'\end{aligned}$$

Hence, from Lemma A.10, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &s^3\lambda^3\int_0^T\int_0^{\alpha'} e^{2s\varphi}\frac{x^2}{a}\sigma^3v^2 + s\lambda\int_0^T\int_0^{\alpha'} e^{2s\varphi}\sigma a v_x^2 + s^3\lambda^4\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}a^2|\psi'|^4\sigma^3v^2 + s\lambda^2\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}a^2|\psi'|^2\sigma v_x^2\\ &\leq C\Big(s^3\lambda^3\int_0^T\int_0^{\alpha'}\frac{x^2}{a}\sigma^3w^2 + s\lambda\int_0^T\int_0^{\alpha'}\sigma a w_x^2 + s^3\lambda^4\int_0^T\int_0^1 a^2|\psi'|^4\sigma^3w^2 + s\lambda^2\int_0^T\int_0^1 a^2|\psi'|^2\sigma w_x^2\\ &\leq C\left(\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}|h|^2 + s^3\lambda^3\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\sigma^3w^2 + \lambda s\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\sigma w_x^2\right)\\ &\leq C\left(\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}|h|^2 + s^3\lambda^3\int_0^T\int_{\omega'} e^{2s\varphi}\sigma^3v^2 + \lambda s\int_0^T\int_{\omega'} e^{2s\varphi}\sigma a v_x^2\right) \qquad (A.4)\end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof we will estimate the last integral of (A.4). Firstly, let us take $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\omega)$ such that $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ and $\chi \equiv 1$ in ω'. Multiplying equation in (A.1) by $\lambda s e^{2s\varphi}\sigma v\chi$ and integrating over $(0,T)\times(0,1)$, we obtain

$$\lambda s\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}\sigma v v_t\chi + \lambda s\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}\sigma(av_x)_x v\chi = \lambda s\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}\sigma h v\chi. \qquad (A.5)$$

We can see that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}\sigma v v_t\chi\right| &= \left|\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}\sigma\frac{d}{dt}v^2\chi\right| = \left|-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T\int_0^1 (e^{2s\varphi}\sigma\chi)_t v^2\right|\\ &= \left|-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T\int_0^1 \chi e^{2s\varphi}(2s\varphi_t\sigma + \sigma_t)v^2\right| \leq Cs\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2s\varphi}\sigma^3v^2. \qquad (A.6)\end{aligned}$$

And, analogously,

$$\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}\sigma(av_x)_x v\chi = -\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}\sigma a v_x^2\chi - \int_0^T\int_0^1 (e^{2s\varphi}\sigma\chi)_x a v_x v.$$

Since $\varphi_x \leq C\sigma$ and $\sigma_x \leq C\sigma$ in $(0,T)\times\omega$, we get

$$\left|\int_0^T\int_0^1 (e^{2s\varphi}\sigma\chi)_x a v_x v\right| \leq C\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2s\varphi}\sigma^2|av_x||v|. \tag{A.7}$$

Now, from (A.5)-(A.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda s\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2s\varphi}\sigma a v_x^2 &= \lambda s\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}\sigma a v_x^2\chi\\
&\leq \left|-\lambda s\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}\sigma(av_x)_x v\chi - \lambda s\int_0^T\int_0^1 (e^{2s\varphi}\sigma\chi)_x a v_x v\right|\\
&\leq \lambda s\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}\sigma|vv_t|\chi + \lambda s\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}\sigma|hv|\chi + \lambda s\int_0^T\int_0^1 |(e^{2s\varphi}\sigma\chi)_x||av_x v|\\
&\leq C\lambda s^2\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2s\varphi}\sigma^3 v^2 + \lambda s\int_0^T\int_\omega (e^{s\varphi}h)(e^{s\varphi}\sigma v) + C\lambda s\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2s\varphi}\sigma^2|av_x||v|\\
&\leq C\lambda^3 s^3\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2s\varphi}\sigma^3 v^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda s\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2s\varphi}h^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda s\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2s\varphi}\sigma^2 v^2\\
&\qquad + C\lambda s\int_0^T\int_\omega (e^{s\varphi}\sigma^{1/2}a^{1/2}|v_x|)(e^{s\varphi}a^{1/2}\sigma^{3/2}|v|)\\
&\leq C\lambda^3 s^3\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2s\varphi}\sigma^3 v^2 + C\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2s\varphi}h^2\\
&\qquad + \varepsilon C\lambda s\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2s\varphi}\sigma a v_x^2 + C_\varepsilon\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2s\varphi}a\sigma^3 v^2\\
&\leq C\lambda^3 s^3\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2s\varphi}\sigma^3 v^2 + C\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2s\varphi}h^2 + \varepsilon C\lambda s\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2s\varphi}\sigma a v_x^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, taking $\varepsilon = 1/2C$, we get

$$\lambda s\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2s\varphi}\sigma a v_x^2 \leq C\left(\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2s\varphi}h^2 + \lambda^3 s^3\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2s\varphi}\sigma^3 v^2\right).$$

It last inequality combined with (A.4) completes the proof.

□

Now we are ready to prove Proposition A.1.

Proof of Proposition A.1.

Firstly, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda^2 s^2\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}\sigma^2 v^2 = \lambda^2 s^2\int_0^T\int_0^1 \sigma^2 w^2 = \int_0^T\int_0^1 \left(\lambda^{3/2}s^{3/2}\sigma^{3/2}\frac{x}{\sqrt{a}}w\right)\left(\lambda^{1/2}s^{1/2}\sigma^{1/2}\frac{\sqrt{a}}{x}w\right)\\
&\leq \frac{s^3\lambda^3}{2}\int_0^T\int_0^1 \sigma^3\frac{x^2}{a}w^2 + \frac{s\lambda}{2}\int_0^T\int_0^1 \sigma\frac{a}{x^2}w^2 = I_1 + I_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let us estimate I_1 and I_2 taking into account the terms of the inequality given by Lemma A.10.

Splitting I_1 over the intervals $[0,\alpha'],\omega'$ and $[\beta',1]$, and taking into account that x^2/a is bounded in ω' and $x^2/a \le a^2|\psi'|^2$ in $[b',1]$, we use Lemma A.10 to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\le s^3\lambda^3\int_0^T\int_0^{\alpha'}\sigma^3\frac{x^2}{a}w^2 + Cs^3\lambda^3\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\sigma^3w^2 + Cs^3\lambda^4\int_0^T\int_0^1\sigma^3a^2|\phi'|^4w^2 \\ &\le C\left(\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}h^2 + s^3\lambda^3\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\sigma^3w^2 + \lambda s\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\sigma w_x^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

In addition, we apply Hardy-Poincaré inequality to estimate I_2, as following

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \frac{s\lambda}{2}\int_0^T\int_0^1\frac{a}{x^2}(\sigma^{1/2}w)^2 \le Cs\lambda\int_0^T\int_0^1 a(\sigma^{1/2}w)_x^2 \\ &= Cs\lambda\int_0^T\int_0^1 a\left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{-1/2}\sigma_x w + \sigma^{1/2}w_x\right)^2 \\ &\le Cs\lambda\int_0^T\int_0^1 a\sigma^{-1}\sigma_x^2w^2 + Cs\lambda\int_0^T\int_0^1 a\sigma w_x^2 \\ &\le Cs\lambda^3\int_0^T\int_0^1 a|\psi'|^2\sigma w^2 + Cs\lambda\int_0^T\int_0^1 a\sigma w_x^2 \\ &\le Cs^3\lambda^3\int_0^T\int_0^{\alpha'}\frac{x^2}{a}\sigma^3w^2 + Cs^3\lambda^3\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\sigma^3w^2 + Cs^3\lambda^4\int_0^T\int_{\beta'}^1 a^2|\psi'|^4\sigma^3w^2 \\ &\quad + Cs\lambda\int_0^T\int_0^{\alpha'} a\sigma w_x^2 + Cs\lambda\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\sigma w_x^2 + Cs\lambda^2\int_0^T\int_{\beta'}^1 a^2|\psi'|^2\sigma w_x^2 \\ &\le C\left(\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}h^2 + s^3\lambda^3\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\sigma^3w^2 + \lambda s\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\sigma w_x^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\lambda^2s^2\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}\sigma^2v^2 \le I_1 + I_2 \le C\left(\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}h^2 + s^3\lambda^3\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\sigma^3w^2 + \lambda s\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\sigma w_x^2\right).$$

Proceeding exactly as in the proof of Lemma A.11, we achieve

$$\lambda^2s^2\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}\sigma^2v^2 \le C\left(\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2s\varphi}h^2 + \lambda^3s^3\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2s\varphi}\sigma^3v^2\right),$$

and the result given by Lemma A.11 gives us

$$\begin{aligned} s\lambda\int_0^T\int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}a\sigma v_x^2 &\le s\lambda\int_0^T\int_0^{\alpha'} e^{2s\varphi}a\sigma v_x^2 + s\lambda\int_0^T\int_{\omega'} e^{2s\varphi}a\sigma v_x^2 + s\lambda\int_0^T\int_{\beta'}^1 e^{2s\varphi}a^2|\psi'|^2\sigma v_x^2 \\ &\le C\left(\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2s\varphi}h^2 + \lambda^3s^3\int_0^T\int_\omega e^{2s\varphi}\sigma^3v^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, this last two estimates conclude the proof of Proposition A.1.

□

Proof of Propostion 3.1. If v is a solution of (3.1), then v is also a solution of (A.1) with $h = F + cv$. In this case, applying Propostion A.1, there exist $C > 0$, $\lambda_0 > 0$ and $s_0 > 0$ such that v satisfies, for all $s \geq s_0$ and $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$,

$$\int_0^T \int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi} \left((s\lambda)\sigma a v_x^2 + (s\lambda)^2 \sigma^2 v^2 \right) \leq C \left(\int_0^T \int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi} |h|^2 \ + (\lambda s)^3 \int_0^T \int_\omega e^{2s\varphi} \sigma^3 v^2 \right). \tag{A.8}$$

Recalling that $c \in L^\infty((0,T)\times(0,1))$, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}|h|^2 &= \int_0^T \int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}|F+cv|^2 \\ &\leq C \int_0^T \int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}|F|^2 + C\|c\|_\infty^2 \int_0^T \int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}|v|^2 \\ &\leq C \int_0^T \int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}|F|^2 + C \int_0^T \int_0^1 e^{2s\varphi}\sigma^2|v|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, taking λ_0 and s_0 large enough, the last integral can be absorbed by the left-hand side of (A.8) which complete the proof.

□

References

[1] Alabau-Boussouira, F., Cannarsa, P., and Fragnelli, G. Carleman estimates for degenerate parabolic operators with applications to null controllability. *J. of Evolution Equ. 6*, 2 (2006), 161–204.

[2] Cannarsa, P., Martinez, P., and Vancostenoble, J. Carleman estimates for a class of degenerate parabolic operators. *SIAM J. on Control and optim. 47*, 1 (2008), 1–19.

[3] Cannarsa, P., Martinez, P., Vancostenoble, J., et al. Null controllability of degenerate heat equations. *Adv. in Differ. Equ. 10*, 2 (2005), 153–190.

[4] Chang, N., and Chipot, M. On some model diffusion problems with a nonlocal lower order term. *Chin. Ann. of Math. 24*, 2 (2003).

[5] Clark, H., Fernández-Cara, E., Limaco, J., and Medeiros, L. Theoretical and numerical local null controllability for a parabolic system with local and nonlocal nonlinearities. *Appl. Math. and Comput. 223* (2013), 483–505.

[6] de Araújo, B. S. V., Araruna, F. D., and Fernández-Cara, E. Stackelberg-nash exact controllability for linear and semilinear degenerate parabolic equations. *to appear*.

[7] Fattorini, H. O., and Russell, D. L. Exact controllability theorems for linear parabolic equations in one space dimension. *Arch. for Ration. Mechanics and Analysis 43*, 4 (1971), 272–292.

[8] Feller, W. The parabolic differential equations and the associated semi-groups of transformations. *Annals of Mathematics* (1952), 468–519.

[9] Feller, W. Diffusion processes in one dimension. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* (1954), 1–31.

[10] Fernández-Cara, E., Limaco, J., and De Menezes, S. B. Null controllability for a parabolic equation with nonlocal nonlinearities. *Systems & Control Letters 61*, 1 (2012), 107–111.

[11] Fernández-Cara, E., Zuazua, E., et al. The cost of approximate controllability for heat equations: The linear case. *Advances in Differential equations 5*, 4-6 (2000), 465–514.

[12] Fursikov, A. V., and Imanuvilov, O. Y. *Controllability of evolution equations*. No. 34. Seoul National University, 1996.

[13] Imanuvilov, O. Y., and Yamamoto, M. Carleman inequalities for parabolic equations in sobolev spaces of negative order and exact controllability for semilinear parabolic equations. *Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences 39*, 2 (2003), 227–274.

[14] Lebeau, G., and Robbiano, L. Contrôle exact de léquation de la chaleur. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations 20*, 1-2 (1995), 335–356.

[15] Martinez, P., Raymond, J., and Vancostenoble, J. Regional null controllability of a linearized crocco-type equation. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization 42*, 2 (2003), 709–728.

[16] Medeiros, L., Limaco, J., and Menezes, S. Vibrations of elastic strings: Mathematical aspects, part one. *Journal of Computational Analysis and applications 4*, 2 (2002), 91–127.

(R. Demarque)
Departamento de Ciências da Natureza, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Rio das Ostras, RJ, 28895-532, Brazil
E-mail address: r.demarque@gmail.com

(J. Límaco)
Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Niterói, RJ, 24020-140, Brazil
E-mail address: jlimaco@vm.uff.br

(L. Viana)
Departamento de Análise, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Niterói, RJ, 24020-140, Brazil
E-mail address: luizviana@id.uff.br